UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. William Tranell Peoples	Case No. 1:12-cr-00285-PLM
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform And that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Finding	gs of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	-
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is deatl	h or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	•
<u></u>	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had been countries. Significantly a state of lower comparable state or lower comparable state or lower comparable.	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve:	s:
a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while t or local offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the of offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	n that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative Fin	
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	
 ✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). 	ore is prescribed in. *
	ad by finding (4) that an appelition or combination of appelition
 (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the 	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions e safety of the community.
Alternative Fin	ndings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of the R	Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the det	tention hearing establishes by ✓ clear and convincing
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	
1. The charged offense is a serious one.	
2. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.	
3. Defendant is on probation supervision with a pending violation w	varrant.
4. Defendant has incurred prior probation violations/revocations.	
5. Defendant has prior failures to appear.	
Defendant has pending domestic violence charge.	

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 11, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	_